

Michigan Department of Education Office of Special Education

2022 Michigan Part B Determinations for ISDs

(Based primarily on 2020–2021 School Year Data)

The *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA) requires states to annually determine the extent to which each local special education program¹ serving students with individualized education programs (IEPs) is meeting the requirements and purposes of the Act.

Just as the federal Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) uses four determinations' categories, Michigan Department of Education (MDE) uses the same four categories:

- Meets requirements and purposes of IDEA
- Needs assistance in implementing the requirements of IDEA
- Needs intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA
- Needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of IDEA

Most of the Results and Compliance data used in making determinations are from the State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) indicators and/or Section 618 data collected and submitted to OSEP.

ELEMENTS USED IN CALCULATING INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT (ISD) DETERMINATION	
RESULTS INDICATORS (6)	COMPLIANCE INDICATORS (9)
 Proficiency at 4th grade ELA Proficiency at 8th grade ELA Proficiency at 8th grade math Graduation (618, Exiting) Dropout (618, Exiting) 	 SPP/APR Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion by Race/Ethnicity SPP/APR Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation - Child with a Disability - due to Inappropriate Identification SPP/APR Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation - Six Disability Categories - due to Inappropriate Identification SPP/APR Indicator 11: Child Find (Timely Initial Evaluations) SPP/APR Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition SPP/APR Indicator 13: Secondary Transition Submission of Timely Data Audit Findings (Finance) Timely Correction of Noncompliance

 $^{^{1}}$ For the purposes of special education in Michigan, Intermediate School Districts are the local special education program.

MDE aligned the process for making the determinations with the OSEP process. The reason for the alignment is to explicitly demonstrate the connection between the state's determination and the ISD determinations. For MDE to improve the state's determination, a process was developed to identify ISDs with the need for intensive support to improve, consistent with the areas the state must improve. The OSEP utilizes the Result Driven Accountability (RDA) model, and this model includes both results indicators, from the SPP/APR and the 618 federal data reports, as well as compliance indicators.

MDE Office of Special Education (OSE) has developed and is implementing a Differentiated Framework of Monitoring and Technical Assistance Support process to incorporate results and compliance indicators. MDE OSE has aligned this process with the determinations requirement to identify differential levels of technical assistance support needed for improvement efforts. The differentiated model allows increased sharing of resources and evidence-based practices among ISDs to improve outcomes for children and youth with IEPs. The goal of this approach is to increase the ability of the state and ISDs to more effectively leverage collective resources to increase the member districts' capacity to effectively implement evidence-based practices.

Resources

For additional information about the SPP reference the <u>Michigan website</u> (https://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,4615,7-140-6598 88189 88208---,00.html)

For additional information about the EDFacts data reference the <u>CEPI Website</u> (https://www.michigan.gov/cepi).