SRR – Identification

Michigan Department of Education Office of Special Education May 2022

•		
Member District Name		
Instructions Please review the student record related to Evaluation Data (REED). If noncompliance is needed. Select No next to the noncompliant you want to require additional corrective accentered in the SLCAP Comments box will put Insert a comment in the Reviewer Comment internal use only.	s found and correctable, then st item. Insert a comment in the tion other than the prepopulate opulate the final column of the s	udent-level correction is SLCAP Comments box when ed correction. Comments SLCAP section of the SRR.
Student Name	Birthdate	Current Grade Level
Race/Ethnicity	IEP Date	
Reviewed By	Date of Review	

Section 1: Initial Evaluations

Complete this section if the initial evaluation was conducted in the last 36 months.

Item #	Citation	Probe	Responses				
		A variety of assessment tools and	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
1	§ 300.304(b)(1)	strategies were used including information provided by the parent.					
	§ 300.304(c)(4),R	a(1),and MET was completed that meets the	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
2	340.1721a(1),and 340.1705 through 340.1717						
		The MET report contained information	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
3	§ 300.304(c)(7) and R 340.1721a(1)(b)(ii) and (iii)	needed to determine the student's present level of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP) and educational needs.					

Section 2: Review of Existing Evaluation Data

Complete this section if section one was not completed.

Item #		Probe	Re	spon	ses		
4	§ 300.303 (b)(2)	A reevaluation and offer of FAPE occurred within 36 months of the previous offer of FAPE tied to a reevaluation or the parent	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
		and local agreed that no reevaluation was necessary.					
		The required members participated in the REED or, in the case of the parent, there	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
	§§ 300.305 and 300.321(a)	were repeated attempts to invite the parent.					
		The team identified what additional data were needed to determine if the child has a disability, or continues to have a disability, and their educational needs.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
6	§ 300.305(a)(2)(i)						
		The team identified what additional data were needed to determine the present level of academic achievement and related developmental needs.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
7	§ 300.305(a)(2)(ii)						
		The team identified what additional	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
8	§ 300.305(a)(2)(iii)	data were needed to determine if the student needed, or continues to need, special education and/or related services.					
		The team identified what additional data	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
9	§ 300.305(a)(2)(iv)						

Item #	Citation	Probe	Res	Responses			
		If no additional data were needed to determine whether the student had a	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
10	disability and to determine the student's educational needs, the parents were given Notice about the determination, the reason(s) for the determination, and the parent's rights to request an assessment.						
11	§ 300.305(a)(1)(i)	Evaluations and information provided by	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
11	g 300.303(a)(1)(i)						
12	§ 300.305(a)(1)	Current classroom-based, district, or state	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
	(ii)	assessments and classroom-based observations were reviewed.					
12	§ 300.305(a)(1)	Observations by teachers and related service providers were reviewed.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
13	13 (iii)						

Section 3: IEP

Item #	Citation	Probe	Res	ponse	S		
14	§§ 300.321(a)(1)	The required members attended the IEP Team meeting or there was documentation	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
	of an excusal and written input if they were not in attendance or, in the case of the parent, there was documentation of repeated attempts to invite the parent.						
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
15	§ 300.306(a)(1)	O.306(a)(1) An IEP Team determined eligibility and the educational needs of the student.					

Section 4: Determination of Eligibility for Specific Learning Disability

Item #	Citation	Probe	Responses				
16	R 340.1713(1)	The determination of eligibility did not include learning problems that were primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities, cognitive impairment, emotional impairment, autism spectrum disorder, or environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell or to do mathematical calculations.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments

Item #	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
		The MET included a general education	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
17	.7 R 340.1713(3)(a)	teacher.					
		The MET included at least one person	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
18	R 340.1713(3)(b)	qualified to conduct individual diagnostic examinations of children.					
		The documentation of eligibility containeda	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
19	§ 300.311(a)(1)	statement the student had a specific learning disability.					
		The basis for making the determination was documented, including an assurance the determination was made in accordance with § 300.306(c)(1) which indicates in interpreting evaluation data the district must: • Draw upon information from a variety of sources, • Ensure the information is documented and carefully considered.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
20	§ 300.311(a)(2)						
		The student was observed in the student's	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
21	§ 300.310(a)	learning environment to document the student's academic performance and behavior in the areas of difficulty.					
		Educationally-relevant medical findings if	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
22	§ 300.311(a)(4)	Educationally-relevant medical findings, if any, were documented.					

Item	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
22	§§	The student did not achieve adequately or	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
23	300.309(a)(1)(2) and 300.311(a)(5)	make sufficient progress for the student's age or state-approved grade-level standards.					
		Either (a) the student did not make	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
24	§ 300.309(a)(2)	sufficient progress to meet age or state- approved grade-level standards when using a process based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention, or (b) the student exhibited a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement, or both, relative to age,					
		state-approved grade-level standards, or intellectual development.					
	C 200 211/->/C\P	The MET determined the disability was not	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
25	§ 300.311(a)(6)R 340.1721a(1)	primarily the result of a visual, hearing, or motor disability; mental retardation; emotional disturbance; cultural factors; environmental or economic disadvantage; or limited English proficiency.					
	§ 300.309(b)	The underachievement was not due to lack	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
26	3 230.305(8)	of appropriate instruction in reading or math.					
		Each MET member certified in writing the report reflected the member's conclusion,or a separate statement is attached.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
27	§ 300.311(b) R 340.1721a(1)						

Section 4: Determination of Eligibility for Cognitive Impairment

Item #	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
16	R 340.1705(1)	The impairment was manifested during the developmental period.					
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
17	R 340.1705(1)(a)	The developmental rate was at or belowtwo standard deviations below the meanas determined through intellectual assessment.					
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
18	R 340.1705(1)(b)	Standardized test scores in reading and math were approximately within the lowest sixth percentile.					
		Lack of development was primarily in the cognitive domain.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
19	R 340.1705(1)(c)						
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
20	R 340.1705(1)(d)	An impairment of adaptive behavior was documented.					
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
21	R 340.1705(1)(e)	The student's educational performance was adversely affected.					
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
22	R 340.1705(2)	The MET included a psychologist.					

Section 4: Determination of Eligibility for Emotional Impairment

Item #	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
16	R 340.1706(1)	Behavioral problems were primarily in the	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
	, ,	affective domain.					
4.7	D 240 4706(4)	There was evidence that behavioral	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
17	R 340.1706(1)	problems were manifested over an extended period of time.					
		Behavioral problems adversely affected the	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
18	R 340.1706(1)	student's educational performanceto the extent the student could not profit from regular learning experiences withoutspecial education support.					
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
		Behaviors were manifested by one or more of the following:					
19	R 340.1706(1)(a)-	Inability to build and maintain satisfactory relationships within schoolenvironment.					
	(d)	Inappropriate behaviors/feelings,or depression under normal circumstances.					
		General pervasive mood ofunhappiness.					
		Physical symptoms or fears.					
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
20	R 340.1706(2)	The emotional impairment was not based on a social maladjustment unlessit is	res	140	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
-	,	determined the student also has an emotional impairment.					

Item #	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
		Intellectual, sensory, or health factors were	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
21	R 340.1706(3)	not the primary cause of the student's impairment.					
		The evaluation report documents the	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
22	R 340.1706(4)(a)	student's performance in the educational setting and in other settings, such as adaptive behavior within the broader community.					
		The evaluation report documents a systematic observation of the behaviorsof primary concern that interfere with educational and social needs.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
23	R 340.1706(4)(b)						
		Intervention strategies to improve the	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
24	24 R 340.1706(4)(c)	behaviors were implemented and lengthof time these strategies were used was documented.					
		The MET report included data provided by both a psychologist or psychiatrist and a school social worker.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
25	R 340.1706(5)						

Section 4: Determination of Eligibility for Speech and Language Impairment

Item #	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
		A speech and language impairment adversely affected educational	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
16	R 340.1710(1)	performance.					
		A communication disorder was determined by one or more of the following speech and	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
_	5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	language impairments:					
17	R 340.1710(2)	Language					
		ArticulationFluency					
		Voice					
		A language impairment was indicated by a spontaneous language sample demonstrating inadequate language functioning.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
18	R 340.1710(3)(a)						
		Test results of not less than two	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
19	R 340.1710(3)(b)	standardized assessment instruments or two sub-tests designed to determine language functioning indicated inappropriate language functioning for the student's age were used to determine eligibility.					
		The MET included a teacher of students	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
20	R 340.1710(5)						

Section 4: Determination of Eligibility for Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)

Item #	Citation	Probe	Responses				
	R 340.1715(1)	The disability adversely affected the student's educational performance in oneor more of the following areas:	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
16							
		Academic					
		Behavioral					
		Social					
		Qualitative impairments in reciprocal social	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
17	R 340.1715(2)(a)	Qualitative impairments in reciprocal social interactions included marked impairment in at least two of the following areas: Use of multiple nonverbal behaviors such as eye-to-eye gaze, facial expression, body postures, and gestures to regulate social interaction. Peer relationships appropriate to developmental level. Spontaneous seeking to share enjoyment, interests, or achievementswith other people, for example, by showing, bringing, or pointing out objects of interest.					
		Social or emotional reciprocity.					

Item #	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
18	R 340.1715(2)(b)	 Qualitative impairments in communication included at least one of the following: Delay in, or lack of, the development of spoken language not accompanied by an attempt to compensate throughalternative modes of communication such as gesture or mime. Marked impairment in pragmatics or in the ability to initiate, sustain, or 	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
		 engagein reciprocal conversation with others. Stereotyped and repetitive use of language or idiosyncratic language. Lack of varied, spontaneous makebelieve play or social imitative play appropriate to developmental level. 					
		Restricted, repetitive, and stereotyped	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
19	R 340.1715(2)(c)	 behaviors included at least one of the following: Encompassing preoccupation with one or more stereotyped and restricted patterns of interest. Inflexible adherence to specific, nonfunctional routines or rituals. Stereotyped and repetitive motormannerisms. Persistent preoccupation with parts of objects. 					

Item #	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
			Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
20	R 340.1715(4)	 The primary diagnosis was not schizophrenia or emotional impairment. 					
		The MET included at a minimum:	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
21	R 340.1715(5)	 A psychologist or psychiatrist. 					
		An authorized provider of speech andlanguage.A school social worker.					

Section 4: Determination of Eligibility for Other Health Impairment

Item #	Citation	Probe	Resp	onses	5		
16	R 340.1709a(1)	The student had limited strength, vitality, or alertness, including a heightened alertness to environmental stimuli, which resulted in limited alertness with respect to the educational environment.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
47	R 340.1709a(1)(a)	The impairment is due to chronic or acute health problems.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
17							
	R 340.1709a(1)(b) The impairment adversely affect student's educational performan	The impairment adversely affected the	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
18		student's educational performance.					
19	R 340.1709a(2)	The MET included an approved physician as defined in 1978 PA 368 MCL 333.1101 et seq.	Yes	No	NA	SLCAP Comments	Reviewer Comments
19							